

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

Commonwealth of Kentucky

Court of Appeals

NO. 2007-CA-000392-ME

C.T.¹

APPELLANT

v. APPEAL FROM JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT
HONORABLE ELEANORE M. GARBER, JUDGE
ACTION NO. 06-AD-500202

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
CABINET FOR FAMILIES AND CHILDREN,
AND C.M.A.T.

APPELLEES

OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING

** ** * ** * **

BEFORE: DIXON, NICKELL AND TAYLOR, JUDGES.

NICKELL, JUDGE: This matter comes before the Court as an interlocutory appeal from the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law entered by the Jefferson Circuit Court, Family Division, on January 25, 2007. The Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

¹ Pursuant to the policy of the Court of Appeals, in cases involving allegations pertaining to minor children, the parties shall be referenced by their initials in an effort to protect the interests of such minor children.

were entered the same day the court entered an order terminating C.T.'s parental rights to her son, C.M.A.T. Importantly, C.T., acting *pro se*, has appealed only from the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law rather than the order terminating her parental rights. However, the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law did not adjudicate the rights of any party. Thus it was interlocutory in nature and not appealable. CR 54.02;² *Hale v. Deaton*, 528 S.W.2d 719, 721 (Ky. 1975). Having determined the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law to be interlocutory in nature and to lack the finality language required by CR 54.02, we are without authority to entertain C.T.'s appeal.

ALL CONCUR.

ENTERED: February 15, 2008

/s/ C. Shae Nickell
JUDGE, COURT OF APPEALS

BRIEF FOR APPELLANT:

C.T., *pro se*
Louisville, Kentucky

BRIEF FOR APPELLEE:

Tara Hagerty
Louisville, Kentucky

² Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure.